Law Offices

SCIARRA & CATRAMBONE, L.L.C.

A Limited Liability Company 1130 Clifton Avenue - Suite #3 Clifton, New Jersey 07013 Tel: 973-242-2442 Fax: 973-242-3118

The Woolworth Building 233 Broadway 18th Floor

New York Office

212-406-1700

Charles J. Sciarra* Jeffrey D. Catrambone* Matthew R. Curran

Of Counsel Cathie Perselay Seidman* Alan Serrins ** Deborah Masker Edwards* *Admitted NJ & NY Bars **Admitted NY Bar

March 11, 2013

www.sciarralaw.com

Via ECF Filing

Vincent J. Rizzo, Jr., DAG Office of the Attorney General 25 Market Street PO Box 112 Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0112

> Bayliss v. New Jersey State Police, et al. Re:

> > Civil Action No. 11-cv-0890

State Troopers Fraternal Association of N.J., Inc. v. State of New Jersey, et al. Civil Action No. 13-cv-1065

Dear Deputy Attorney General Rizzo:

As you are aware, the undersigned represents Sergeant Richard Wambold in the matter Bayliss v. New Jersey State Police, et al., Civil Action No. 11-cv-0890. I have reviewed a correspondence you drafted and e-filed on March 7, 2013 in the matter STFA v. State of New Jersey, et al., Civil Action No. 13-cv-1065. In that correspondence, you indicate your representation of the State of New Jersey and the Superintendent of the State Police, Colonel Joseph Fuentes. You further indicated that the State Police conducted their Internal Affairs investigation of STFA President Christopher Burgos on February 26, 2013.

Based upon a review of your March 7th correspondence and the Verified Complaint in the STFA matter, it is apparent that you have taken a position on behalf of the State Police contrary to your former client, Sergeant Wambold, as you are prosecuting an action against President Burgos because Burgos acted in assistance of Wambold by forwarding certain reports.

I note that this conduct implicates RPC 1.9(a) which provides, "[a] lawyer who has represented a client in a matter shall not thereafter represent another client in the same or a substantially related matter in which that client's interests are materially adverse to the interests of the former client unless the former client gives informed consent confirmed in writing." Further, RPC 1.9c(1) and (2) prohibits an attorney from using information relating to the representation to the disadvantage of the former client or in general revealing information relating to the former representation.

Case 3:13-cv-01065-PGS-DEA Document 7 Filed 03/11/13 Page 2 of 2 PageID: 58

Bayliss v. New Jersey State Police, et al. STFA v. State of New Jersey, et al. Letter to DAG Rizzo

March 11, 2013 Page 2 of 2

By way of this correspondence, you are once again placed on notice of the ethical and legal implications of this course of conduct. You are directed to cease and desist your prosecution of the Internal Affairs matter against President Burgos and your defense of the State in the *STFA* litigation based upon your prior representation of Sergeant Wambold. If within the next three days you do not withdraw from your involvement in the *STFA* lawsuit and the prosecution of disciplinary charges against President Burgos, I will be forced to move to disqualify you from the *STFA* litigation.

Please be guided accordingly.

Very truly yours,

Sciarra & Catrambone, L.L.C.

By: Charles J. Sciarra /s/

Charles J. Sciarra (CS 4519)

CJS/mrc

cc: Honorable Lois H. Goodman, USMJ (Via ECF Filing)
Honorable Peter G. Sheridan, USDJ (Via ECF Filing)

Michael A. Bukosky, Esq. (*Via ECF Filing*) Robert B. Woodruff, Esq. (*Via ECF Filing*) John M. Bowens, Esq. (*Via ECF Filing*) Linda G. Harvey, Esq. (*Via ECF Filing*)

Client

¹ I note that on June 14, 2012 the undersigned initially wrote to you and placed you on notice of the ethical and legal implications arising out of this matter. Thereafter, in a correspondence dated July 6, 2013, the Attorney General's Office made a finding that there was in fact a conflict of interest.